	NUMENT	NL PROTECTIC	OW	
Bran	MEAN	VA	July 1	
	LOR	IDA	~	1
1	~~		-	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0251157 DA	TE: <u>10/26/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:30 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>9:22 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: CE	MEX-MIDTOWN MIAMI REAI	DY-MIX	
FACILITY LOCATION	1610 NW 21ST TERRAC	CE	
	MIAMI 33142-7412		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: R Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI(Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(561)718-7564

Facility Section

PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check d only one box)

☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check 🗹	only one	
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>RUSSELL EAVENSON</u>	box for each question)		
	Brief Notes:			
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? If no, who is?:	Xes Yes	□No	
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still RUSSELL EAVENSON?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No	
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No	

<u>1-CCB Plant-split silo#1 (cement) comp#1 w/cart. dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>9/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ied			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes	□ No □ No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		

2 - CCB Plant-split silo#1 (cement) comp#2 w/cart. dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>9/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Tes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the				
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		∐ No □ No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🗌 No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment o particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	Xes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No		

3 – CCB Plant-splitsilo#2(flyash/slag)comp#1w/cart.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>9/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: 	led			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No		
 control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
particulate matter?	—	🗌 No		
particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	No No		
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If recompliant propagations not being taken. 	Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

4 – CCB Plant-splitsilo#2(flyash/slag)comp#2w/cart.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>9/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		J		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: 	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No		
 a) approximation of which of environmentary state data suppression entrances which necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
particulate matter?		🗌 No		
particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	· 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

5 – CCB Plant-twin cement weigh hoppers w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>9/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
DADT II. FIELD ODSEDVATIONS Dulo 62 206 $414(2)$ E A C)		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	led			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No		
 control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
particulate matter?	—	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		

6 - CCB Plant-batcher&truck loadout w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)			
 Date of last inspection: <u>9/22/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check I only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check I only one box for each question)					
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: 	ned				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	- Xes	□ No			
 control emissions?		∐ No			
 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	_	No No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No			
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No			

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? yes No ves No ves No ves No ves No ves No ves No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes Xes No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes No b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes Yes Yes Yes No c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes Yes No d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes No e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes No
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + \text{MM gal propane/yr} \le 1.00?$ 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\bowtie	Yes	No No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>) 	(check ☑ box for each <i>ng question 2.)</i>	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner of operator houry the appropriate Department of Decar Air Hogram by elephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe	rmit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	L No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes	box for each	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent	ative not	

1.	were there any enanges in the name, address, or phone number of the facility of authorized representative not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [] Yes	🗌 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes	🖂 No
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question $3a - d$ is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	No No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

10/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

10/26/2011

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED FIVE (5) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS. I WITNESSED VE TESTS ON EMISSIONS UNITS #2 AND #3; I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY EMISSIONS DURING THE TESTS. THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 10-12 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. THE YARD IS KEPT WET.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 8:02 am, Nov 01, 2011